


SURVEY IN THE E 1/2 OF SECTION 10,
T.35S., R.2E., W.M., JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON

FOR
LARRY ROCK
&
DARRELL LOWE


BASIS OF BRGS.
PLAT REC 6 OF MAIN ST.

SCALE 1" = 300'



SURVEY BY
CHARLES H. HURST
MEDFORD, OREGON

REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL
LAND SURVEYOR

Charles H. Hurst

OREGON
OCTOBER 30, 1959
CHARLES H. HURST
483

FEB 20 1981

JACKSON COUNTY
RECEIVED
APR 20 1981
SURVEYOR

SURVEY NARRATIVE TO COMPLY WITH PARAGRAPH 209.250
OREGON REVISED STATUTES

8798

Survey for: Larry Rock & Darrell Lowe
c/o Pat Lowe
879 Spring Street
Medford, Oregon 97501

Survey by : Charles H. Hurst
304 South Holly
Medford, Oregon 97501

Bearings : Based on record for the centerline of Main Street
as shown on the official plat for Medco Addn. to
Butte Falls, Jackson County, Oregon.

Purpose : To determine the record location for the obliterated
quarter corner common to Sections 10 and 11 according
to the official plat for the Town of Butte Falls.

Procedure

The record position for said quarter corner was established utilizing electronic distance measuring equipment to produce the centerline of Main Street Easterly according to record.

No evidence of the original witness trees was discovered. This record position was monumented and witnessed as shown on the attached plat.

The south edge of a Ponderosa pine, approximately 20 inches in diameter, with a MEDCO location poster bears S $89^{\circ}19'$ W 200 feet, more or less.

A Bureau of Land Management traverse station marked "38.179 c/s 2 \pm W" bears S $40^{\circ}27'53''$ W 93.00 feet.



An interview with Ernest Smith, long time resident of Butte Falls, now in a Medford retirement home, proved inconclusive, excepting that Mr. Smith recalled that said corner was on the east side of Big Butte Creek.

This does not agree with the official government notes. However, an inspection of said notes suggests that the official record probably is in error as no correlation with record calls and Butte Creek can be made.

In this area, the original government contract surveyor was known to have had problems.

Since the clients did not desire additional corroborative work, this survey does not necessarily purport to have established said corner on the basis of original government record, but rather on the basis of existing monumentation subsequent to the date of the original General Land Office survey.

February 20, 1981



Charles H. Hurst

