


5019



8 1/4 Cor. N 89°30' E 2666.00' 8 9
 17 1333.00' (Per Survey No. 1161 & 1430) 1/16 Cor. 17 16
 1333.00'

WC S 89°37' W 1329.60' Fd. 5/8" pin
 332.10' 332.64' Fd. 5/8" pin

S 1/2 N 1/2 SW 1/4 NE 1/4 Section 17

50' Ease.

2656.81' WC S 89°38'20" W 1328.92' N 0°15'20" W 2661.14' Fd. 5/8" pin
 664.20' 665.28' S 89°38'20" W 1/64 Cor.

SURVEY IN THE SW 1/4 NE 1/4 SECTION 17
 T. 37 S., R. 2 W., W.M.,
 JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON

FOR
 ROBERT F. HICKEY

SCALE: 1"=200'

Survey by: Charles H. Hurst
 Medford, Oregon

Legend
 ● Set 5/8" x 24" pins
 -x- Existing fence line

Basis of Brg.
 Survey 1934.

REGISTERED
 PROFESSIONAL
 LAND SURVEYOR

Charles H. Hurst

OREGON
 OCTOBER 30, 1959
 CHARLES H. HURST
 483

OCT 9 1972

Survey No.
 1934



← Old Military Road

S 89°41'10" W 1327.56' S 89°41'10" W 1327.56' (Survey 1430) 16

No evidence of cor. found

Stone with "+"
 Mkd. X on S face
 Accepted CE 1/16 cor. Sec. 17

17
 - 5019

SURVEY NARRATIVE TO COMPLY WITH PARAGRAPH 209.250
OREGON REVISED STATUTES

Survey for: Robert F. Hickey
2885 LaPine
Medford, Oregon 97501

Survey by : Charles H. Hurst, L.S.
23 North Ivy
Medford, Oregon 97501

Bearing : Based on the meridian for Recorded Survey No. 1934.

Purpose : To establish the approximate corners and boundaries of the $S\frac{1}{2}$ $N\frac{1}{2}$ $SW\frac{1}{4}$ $NE\frac{1}{4}$ Section 17 utilizing those surveys of record as shown and not involving the subdivision of said Section due to economic considerations.

PROCEDURE



Bearing determination was made by turning off the monuments set on the west boundary of Survey No. 1934 and tying the stone shown which has been accepted for the CE 1/16 corner of Section 17.

From computations based on the returns shown by Surveys 1161, 1430 & 1934, the prorated theoretical locations of the corners were determined as well as the bearings between said corners. The corners on the west boundary of the tract subject to the projection West through said stone monument of the line determined by Survey No. 1430.


Witness corners were set on the west boundary abutting Federal ownership at the theoretical corner points.

The client is aware that short of a section breakdown not economically feasible at this time, the corners set and the lines controlled by said corners cannot be procedurally warranted.

Accordingly, the establishment of an agreement line with abutting owners to the North and South is highly recommended.



Charles H. Hurst



OCT 9 1972