

Page 2 - continued

Survey for: Rose Griffin

2000 Orchard Home Drive Medford, Oregon 97501

in which surveys of the same line were entered by Osgood and Cummings in 1910 and A. T. Brown in 1915.

It should be noted that holding deed record of 1883.64 feet from the northeast corner of DLC 80 places an old fence line approximately 4½ feet too far north at the northeast corner of the separated parcel.

A. T. Brown in 1915 noted that the fence corner was further south than its present position more or less coinciding with deed record, although he did not show he found the stone at that time.

It should be noted that a 5/8" iron pin was found on the westerly boundary of Orchard Home Drive 0.13 feet north of the line determined by this survey. Therefore, it is possible that the stone is offset further from centerline than supposed and missed during excavation although it has been ascertained county road survey crews in recent years have searched for said stone without success. Given sufficient time, the entire boundary could be re-established. Economics do not permit additional expenditure to resolve all aspects of the situation at present.

December 12, 1967

RECEIVED
DEC 1 8 1967

SURVEYOR

REGISTERED
OREGON
LAND SURVEYOR

CCTOBER 20, 1959
CHARLES H. HURST
483

SURVEY NARRATIVE TO COMPLY WITH PARAGRAPH 209.250

OREGON REVISED STATUTES

Survey for:

Rose Griffin

2000 Orchard Home Drive Medford, Oregon 97501

Survey by:

Charles H. Hurst, L.S.

28 No. Oakdale

Medford, Oregon 97501

Bearing:

Based on the meridian of Recorded Survey No. 1146

Purpose:

To separate the parcel shown from the parent tract.

PROCEDURE

Since the parcel separated is adjacent to different ownership to the north, it was necessary to determine the precedence of deed line location.

A record search revealed that the north boundary of the client's ownership was a division line first created by deed (Vol. 49 page 307) in 1904 when property north of said line was separated from the parent tract (Vol. 47 page 252).

This division line is erroneously described by reference to the northeast corner of DLC 81.

Subsequent deeds, however, refer to the northwest corner of DLC 81. However, all these deeds should have referred to the northeast corner of DLC 80 inasmuch as a survey by Osgood and Cummings in 1910 and, A. T. Brown in 1915 and the county engineer's office in 1945 disclose that stones were found at the deed calls based on measurements from the northeast corner of DLC 80.

The stone formerly located at the northeast corner of the parcel shown on the attached plat was not found and is presumed destroyed during road improvement inasmuch as it was flush with the gravel surface of the road in 1945 prior to paving. The stone controlling the south boundary of D.R. 49 page 307 to the west was lost during construction or the irrigation canal.

However, inasmuch as a remeasurement from the northeast corner of DLC 80 south to the southwest corner of DLC 39 checks the returns shown in a Jackson County field book, it was deemed suitable to hold the county measurement as to the site formerly occupied by the missing stone for the point of beginning.

A line was produced from the two stones shown on the attached plat Easterly to a point of intersection with the center of Orchard Home Drive and adjustment and convergency of bearing was determined for the north boundary of the separated parcel utilizing data from an old field book