

. Survey No. _____ 2582

Survey Narrative to comply with O.R.S. 209-250:

Survey for: Mr. Eugene Burrill

P.O. Box 240, Prospect

The second of the second of

Survey by: Edward A. McGinty, L.S.

May 1964

REGISTERED
OREGON
LAND SULVEYOR

Lever 4. Me Lucky
EDWARD A. MCGINIY

301

BASIS OF BEARING: Solar observation.

PRECEDENCE OF SURVEY:

1. Found a 5/8 in. iron pin set flush with pavement.

2. Found a 1 in. gal. pipe and verified it with witness tree.

From monuments at the above point 1 & 2, being corners re-estab. by the deputy Co. Surveyor in 1930, this line was projected easterly 447.60 feet to the center of the now existing Red Blanket road which varies considerably as the petition call for 409 feet, also found by projecting said line it would fall considerable north of the SE. cor. of Sec. 28.

At point 2, being a re-estab. porportion corner and on line between point 1 and SE cor. of Sec. 28 (according to the County Surveyors records). Discussed this with Mark Boyden, County Surveyor, he suggested to calculate original traverse made by County Surveyor in 1930 and see if he made an errorand if so possibly move the 1/4 cor. on line between point 1 and the SE. cor. of Sec. 28. In calculating that portion of the 1930 traverse by the deputy County surveyor, found the error was made and cor. set: approx. 10 feet to far north. Also found small error in the east - west porportional measure which would affect his corner he set for the SW. cor. of Sec. 28. According to the County surveyor, Rhodes, the South 1/4 cor. of Sec. 28 map filed June 3, 1924. only 6 years prior to 1930, the 1/4 cor. felled in the center of the County road and the County re-esatb. notes of 1930, show corner being 35 feet north of the center of the road indicating the 1930 procedure used in re-estab. of saiddorner is definetely questionable.

At point 3 found 1/16 cor. set by County surveyor Rhodes and by projecting line westerly found again that the original 1/4 cor. probably was considerably south of the re-estab. 1/4 cor. Also from tie to Rhodes survey along the east line of Sec. 28 and calculating along calls for on the Mooney road petition, (surveyed by Rhodes) indicates cor. should be considerably farther south than its present location.

At point 3 found a 3/4 in. iron pipe also in traverse notes 1930. At point 4 set RP being a 1 1/4 in. iron pipe, 4 ft. long, 4 in. below the surface.

Center line of road was determined from spike found. Point 7 found a 2 x 4 stakes set on r/w in about 1953 by the County Engr., according to E. Burrill, The County Engr. Bob Carstenson suggested to use road as is existing, by using monument found that it agrees closely to the existing improvements along said road. Also found this appears closely to theo. position as petition. At point 6, being a railroad spike from which the SE. cor. of Sec. 28 bears East 1902.55 feet and South 295.57 feet.

That portion of the surveyed tract shown by dotted lines is a possible alternate position of tract if section line is rulled to be farther south.

The client is aware of possible changes and I thought lines should be determined by court action, or written agreement between owners. I believe all future surveys should make qualified call to section cor. as per re-estab. notes in descriptions and surveyes in case of future change or possible alternate position of the SW. cor. and S. 1/4 cor. sec. 28.

All monuments set not otherwise destinated, being 5/8 in. x 24 in. iron pins, set flush with the surface.

