

15444

SURVEY FOR

DICK HENSELMAN  
C/O HENSELMAN REALTY & MANAGEMENT  
107 EAST MAIN STREET  
MEDFORD, OR. 97501


OF

LOT 6, BLOCK 14 OF ORIGINAL TOWN (NOW CITY)  
OF MEDFORD, JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON

BY

BOYDEN SURVEYORS  
108 MISTLETOE STREET  
MEDFORD, OR. 97501

JULY 7, 1997




LEGEND

- ⊙ - REFERENCED STREET CENTER (FS 13529)
- - SET LEAD PLUG IN CONCRETE WITH COPPER WASHER MKD. "BOYDEN PLS 281"
- W.C. - WITNESS CORNER SET ON LOT LINES PROJECTED
- FS. - SURVEY FILED WITH THE COUNTY SURVEYOR

BASIS OF BEARINGS

CENTERLINE E. MAIN STREET RE: FS. 5520




15444

**\*\* RECEIVED \*\***  
 Date 7/24/97 By SK  
 This survey Consists of:  
1 sheet(s) Map  
1 page(s) Narrative  
 JACKSON COUNTY SURVEYOR

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR  
*Mark E. Boyden*  
 OREGON  
 NOV. 9, 1991  
 MARK E. BOYDEN  
 281  
 Expires: 12/31/97

371W-30BC TL 5700



MARK E. BOYDEN  
R.P.L.S. ORE. 281  
108 MISTLETOE ST., MEDFORD, OREGON 97501  
PHONE (503) 773-6000

SURVEY NO. 15444

SURVEY NARRATIVE TO COMPLY WITH O.R.S. 209.250

SURVEY FOR: Dick Henselman  
c/o Henselman Realty & Management  
107 E. Main Street  
Medford, OR 97501

SURVEY BY: Boyden Surveyors  
108 Mistletoe Street  
Medford, OR 97501

LOCATION: Block 14, ORIGINAL TOWN (Now City)  
of Medford, Jackson County, Oregon

BASIS OF BEARINGS:  $\phi$  E. Main Street  
Re: Filed Survey No. 5520

DATE: July 7, 1997

PURPOSE: To monument with witness corners, the boundary corners  
of Lot 6, Block 14, ORIGINAL TOWN (Now City) of  
Medford, Jackson County, Oregon.

PROCEDURE: Recovered all four of the 38 shell RPs as reported on Filed  
Survey No. 13529, surrounding Block 14 except the Southeast RP at Main and Central  
which was destroyed by Vogel Park reconstruction. The Street center locations were  
then calculated and found to be accurate with 0.02 feet.

Surrounded the block with E.D.M. traverse and bisected same with a line through the  
alley.

Computed the lot lines by proportional measurement.

It should be noted how consistent these measurements are with respect to Filed Survey  
No. 1002 which was made prior to the City RPs.

